

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Spring 2011 TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = ARTS & SCIENCES DEPARTMENT = Political Science
 COURSE = PS 240 002L1 INTRO POLITICAL THEORY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Schoellhammer, Ralph

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	9	32.1	A	21	75.0
Sophomore	8	28.6	B	5	17.9
Junior	6	21.4	C	0	0.0
Senior	4	14.3	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	1	3.6	Pass or Audit	2	7.1

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	5	17.9
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	12	42.9
Required by my major	24	80.0	3 hours	5	17.9
Other (e.g. elective)	6	20.0	4 - 5 hours	6	21.4
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	%				Coll		
		SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	33	0.0	0.0	51.5	48.5	0.51	3.5	3.5	0.0
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	33	0.0	0.0	51.5	48.5	0.51	3.5	3.2	0.3
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	34	0.0	5.9	38.2	55.9	0.62	3.5	3.3	0.2
4. Exams reflected what was taught	34	0.0	0.0	32.4	67.6	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
5. Grading was fair and consistent	34	0.0	0.0	35.3	64.7	0.49	3.6	3.4	0.2
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	34	0.0	5.9	32.4	61.8	0.61	3.6	3.4	0.2
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	34	0.0	0.0	26.5	73.5	0.45	3.7	3.4	0.3
8. Graded assignments included comments	32	3.1	9.4	34.4	53.1	0.79	3.4	3.2	0.2

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	34	0.0	0.0	26.5	73.5	0.45	3.7	3.4	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	34	0.0	0.0	17.6	82.4	0.39	3.8	3.6	0.2
11. Was available for consultation	33	0.0	0.0	21.2	78.8	0.42	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	34	0.0	0.0	17.6	82.4	0.39	3.8	3.5	0.3
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	34	0.0	5.9	23.5	70.6	0.60	3.6	3.2	0.4
14. Encouraged class participation	34	0.0	0.0	23.5	76.5	0.43	3.8	3.4	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	33	0.0	3.0	36.4	60.6	0.56	3.6	3.3	0.3
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	34	0.0	0.0	35.3	64.7	0.49	3.6	3.3	0.3
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	33	0.0	6.1	33.3	60.6	0.62	3.5	3.3	0.2
18. Gained undrstd of concepts & prin.	34	0.0	0.0	32.4	67.6	0.47	3.7	3.4	0.3
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	34	0.0	2.9	38.2	58.8	0.56	3.6	3.1	0.5

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	%				Coll		
		P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Mean Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	33	0.0	3.0	18.2	78.8	0.50	3.8	3.3	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	33	0.0	3.0	12.1	84.8	0.46	3.8	3.4	0.4

Teacher/Course Evaluation – Spring 2011

Ralph Schoellhammer

PS 240 002 INTRO POLITICAL THEORY

Passionate and knowledgeable. I suggest a different structure for grading. Not just two tests and a paper.

Great job getting across the theories and ideologies that we have learned in class. The topics are very in depth and useful

Great class, very intelligent.

This was an awesome class. The best one I have taken in college! Subject was interesting and you taught it well. Keep it up!!

Great lecturer, and he was very passionate about the course/subject matter.

I really enjoyed this course. I enjoyed the debates that we had from time to time. Ralph was a great instructor and presented the material very well. The course was interesting and was made better by the instructor. I would take this instructor again.

The instructor really knows his stuff! I came into this class with a negative perception of this topic, but I was surprised at its value. Great class!

Mr. Schoellhammer was one of the better professors I have had this year. He was very engaging in class and promoted discussion and let others voice their opinions. He should be acknowledged for his exceptional teaching ability.