

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY PROVOST AREA
 Spring 2013 PAPER TEACHER AND COURSE EVALUATION RESULTS
 COLLEGE = College of Arts & Sciences DEPARTMENT = Political Science
 COURSE = PS 240 001L1 INTRO POLITICAL THEORY

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Schoellhammer, Ralph

SECTION A - STUDENT INFORMATION

Classification	Number	Percent	Expected grade	Number	Percent
Freshman	2	8.0	A	12	52.2
Sophomore	8	32.0	B	11	47.8
Junior	6	24.0	C	0	0.0
Senior	9	36.0	D	0	0.0
Graduate	0	0.0	E/Fail	0	0.0
Professional	0	0.0	I	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0	Pass or Audit	0	0.0

Reason for taking course	Number	Percent	Hrs/week spent	Number	Percent
Required by University			1 hour or less	2	8.0
Studies Program	0	0.0	2 hours	11	44.0
Required by my major	18	72.0	3 hours	7	28.0
Other (e.g. elective)	7	28.0	4 - 5 hours	5	20.0
			6 - 7 hours	0	0.0
			8 or more hours	0	0.0

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	SD	D	A	SA	SD	Mean	Coll	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)					

SECTION B - COURSE ITEMS

1. Outlined course material and grading	27	0.0	0.0	18.5	81.5	0.40	3.8	3.5	0.3
2. Textbook contributed to understandin	27	0.0	3.7	25.9	70.4	0.55	3.7	3.3	0.4
3. Suppl read & assign helped understan	26	3.8	0.0	23.1	73.1	0.69	3.7	3.4	0.3
4. Exams reflected what was taught	27	0.0	3.7	14.8	81.5	0.51	3.8	3.4	0.4
5. Grading was fair and consistent	26	0.0	0.0	19.2	80.8	0.40	3.8	3.4	0.4
6. Assignments were distributed evenly	24	0.0	4.2	16.7	79.2	0.53	3.8	3.5	0.3
7. Graded assignments returned promptly	26	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.37	3.8	3.4	0.4
8. Graded assignments included comments	25	4.0	0.0	32.0	64.0	0.71	3.6	3.3	0.3

SECTION C - INSTRUCTOR ITEMS

9. Presented material effectively	27	3.7	0.0	18.5	77.8	0.67	3.7	3.4	0.3
10. Had good knowledge of subject matte	27	0.0	0.0	3.7	96.3	0.19	4.0	3.6	0.4
11. Was available for consultation	26	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.43	3.8	3.5	0.3
12. Satisfact answered class questions	27	3.7	0.0	3.7	92.6	0.60	3.9	3.5	0.4
13. Stimulated interest of the subject	27	3.7	0.0	7.4	88.9	0.62	3.8	3.3	0.5
14. Encouraged class participation	27	0.0	3.7	0.0	96.3	0.38	3.9	3.5	0.4

SECTION D - LEARNING OUTCOMES

15. Learned respect different viewpoint	27	0.0	0.0	25.9	74.1	0.45	3.7	3.3	0.4
16. Inc my abil to analyze & evaluate	27	3.7	0.0	11.1	85.2	0.64	3.8	3.3	0.5
17. Course helped abil to solve problem	26	3.8	3.8	11.5	80.8	0.74	3.7	3.3	0.4
18. Gained undrstd of concepts & prin.	27	0.0	3.7	3.7	92.6	0.42	3.9	3.4	0.5
19. Course stimulated me to read furthe	27	3.7	0.0	11.1	85.2	0.64	3.8	3.1	0.7

RESPONSE SCALE: P=POOR F=FAIR G=GOOD E=EXCELLENT

	N	P	F	G	E	SD	Mean	Coll	Mean	Diff
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)					

SECTION E - SUMMARY ITEMS

20. Overall value of the course	27	3.7	0.0	7.4	88.9	0.62	3.8	3.3	0.5
21. Overall quality of teaching	27	3.7	0.0	3.7	92.6	0.60	3.9	3.4	0.5

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATED = Schoellhammer, Ralph

RESPONSE SCALE: SD=STRONGLY DISAGREE D=DISAGREE A=AGREE SA=STRONGLY AGREE

	N	% answering item				SD	Coll		Diff
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		Mean	Mean	
SECTION F - UNIVERSITY STUDIES PROGRAM COURSES ONLY									
22. USSC/ understand scientific inquiry	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.2	0.8	
23. Saw how discipline relates to other	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.2	0.8	
24. Writing assign helps understand sub	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.2	0.8	
25. Cross-Cult/understand other culture	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.2	0.8	
26. Cross-Discipline/ links were eviden	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.2	0.8	
SECTION G - GRADUATE SEMINARS ONLY									
27. Feedback on oral presentations	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.4	0.6	
28. Guided student report preparation	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.4	0.6	
29. Students free to express opinions	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.5	0.5	
30. Discussions broadened my knowledge	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.4	0.6	
31. Developed abil to conduct research	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.3	0.7	
SECTION H - LABORATORY & DISCUSSION SECTIONS ONLY									
32. Clarified lecture material	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.3	0.7	
33. Amount of work appropriate	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.4	0.6	
34. Instructor explained expectations	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.4	0.6	
35. Instructor helped with my problems	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.3	0.7	
36. Lab equipment use satis explained	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	4.0	3.4	0.6	

	N	% answering item					Mean	SD
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

SECTION I - OPTIONAL ITEMS

*** No Optional Questions were answered ***

Number of forms preslugged = 39
 Number of forms scanned = 27
 Number of forms with at least one student response = 27
 Percent completed = 69.2 %

For help with interpretation of the results, refer to
http://www.uky.edu/IRPE/faculty/tce_understanding.html.
 For additional help, please contact Institutional Research at 257-4110.

Teacher/Course Evaluations – Spring 2013

Ralph Schoellhammer

PS 240 001 INTRO POLITICAL THEORY

Talk more slowly, practice better time management, and give more assignments throughout the semester where we could apply theory.

One of the best classes I have taken at UK. Because of Ralph!

Ralph is the man!

Great class, Great teacher!

Best teacher I have ever had. In contrast to other Pol Sci teachers, he is not biased and he is always lively.

Passionate instructor that really made the subject interesting. The readings were a little dense and I felt like some of them could have been eliminated.

Great class. I love Ralph!

One of the best courses I have had!

I really enjoyed your class!

Need more grades than just midterm and a final. More quizzes too!